

February 26, 1976

the opposition quite well. I do not have too much to add, other than the fact that I think that this bill was a shot-gun approach to a possible problem...going at first...now he has withdrawn it, he was going to set up another advisory committee, boy this state is loaded down with them. What, I think the committee will do this summer on this study, if it is proven to us that we need some legislation in this area, I don't think that we need it by a committee. I think that we will just have to knock heads together by legislation and say that this is it. You might ask why the committee didn't go into this before, and the reason was that you appropriated some money for a study by an outside firm and of course as long as they were studying we were not going to rock the boat. Now, that the boat has been rocked, we are going to take Mr. Kivett and the Game Commission along and...or some representative of the historical society office, it is up to Mr. Kivett who that person is, if it isn't himself, and have him, the Game Commission point out specifically site by site what the problem is. I might say that it might be in the long run, and in the future, I don't know what the committee will do and what we will see yet on this matter, but if we are convinced that there should be some changes then the possibility that some of these places should be transferred to the historical society under their jurisdiction and support. Because, within...with the...in a few instances you can not have two bosses on a site. Now, years ago we had that problem at Ft. Robinson. We had a problem, well we still have got the problem on this one at Ft. Robinson, but to begin with we have this federal government up there and the State Game Commission. You have two bosses up there and I was up there trying to settle quarrels on several occasions or right in the middle of them mostly, in-between them. It was even an argument as to who was going to water the lawn and who was going to mow the lawn and everyone was...it was a rather a mess and I think that Senator Stull is aware of that one and how that one worked out. It didn't work out good. Now the Game Commission is in control up there. One boss, at least when you have one boss you have one responsibility and that makes a good way to do it. I do know that the historical society is up there. We will have to look in and see exactly what the controversy is at Ft. Robinson, but that is not the only place in the state where there is a controversy. As I say in Ft. Robinson, even with the historical society there it is mostly a recreational area. But, there may be some in the state that shouldn't be under the Game Commission at all. I do not know at this present time. But, we certainly.... I think that the committee has voted to go along with these two agencies. Take a good look, come in the 1977 session and present a bill if needed. This is the first opportunity that we have had this charge. I would say turn down Senator Bereuter's bill, and let the committee look through this thing, and come in with its recommendations in 1977.

PRESIDENT: Senator George.

SENATOR GEORGE: Mr. President, this issue was discussed last session, and we all realized that we had a problem on our hands. The problem is that we have two state agencies that don't get along too well with each other. There was friction, there were personal problems, and there were personnel problems and there were equipment problems and we all agreed last session